Results of Mayor Griffith's 2014 Study Issue Survey

Introduction

The survey was conducted between January 17th and February 2nd, 2014. 54 responses were received. Note that one study issue was added to the list of potential study issues by staff after some participants had already voted:

LCS 14-04 Consider Creation of a Youth Commission

The survey attempted to follow the voting mechanism that Council uses in ranking study issues. Participants were provided with the study issue overviews, as described in the council overview sheets, with links to the full study issue write-ups. Participants were first asked to indicate which study issues should be dropped. Of what remained, participants were asked to indicate which study issues should be deferred until next year. Of what remained, participants were asked to rank their top four choices (or fewer, if a department had less than four study issues). The raw votes cast are on the final page of the summary. The survey may be viewed at http://www.dweeb.org/cgi-bin/survey.pl.

Each department is broken down into four results. The first set of results orders study issues by residents' preference for dropping issues, with items most favored to be dropped listed first. The second set shows the preference for deferring issues, with items most favored to be deferred listed first. Note that since respondents first voted for dropping issues and then deferring, items favored to be dropped score low on the deferral list. As such, the best measure of a study issue's unpopularity is its drop percentage plus its deferral percentage, resulting in a "rank/do not rank" value.

The third and fourth sets indicate the preferred ranking order of the study issues, in two ways.

In the "drop-weighted ranking", study issues are ranked, but "drop" and "defer" votes are applied as a negative value. As such, the "drop-weighted ranking" attempts to represent the collective opinion of all survey participants. This can be interpreted as "deciding to vote to represent the wishes of the resident".

In the "rank only" ranking, study issues are ranked ignoring all "drop" and "defer" votes. As such, the "ignore drop/defer votes" ranking is best described as "when participants value an issue, how strongly they value it". This can be interpreted as "having decided to rank items, vote according to this order".

Overall trends

Overall most popular issues

#1	ESD 14-02	Community Choice Aggregation
#2	NOV 14-01	Examine Ways to Increase Local Hiring in Major Developments
#3	LCS 14-02	Review of Park Use Policies and Related User Fees
#4 (tie)	DPW 14-17	Analysis of Reconfiguration or Other Capacity Improvement Alternatives for the Wolfe Road/El
		Camino Real/Fremont Avenue Intersection Complex
#4 (tie)	OCA 14-01	Consider Adding Campaign Contribution Information to RTCs; Consider Barring Councilmembers
		from Voting on Items Involving Parties that Contributed to a Councilmember Campaign

Overall least popular issues

#1	DPW 14-09	Reduction of Excessive Lighting in the Downtown Area
#2	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue
#3	CDD 14-12	Optimizing Public and Private Motor Vehicle Parking Supply
#4	DPW 14-12	Policy on Placement of Street Amenities to Assure Comfortable Access for the Disabled
#5 (tie)	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations
#5 (tie)	DPW 14-10	Consideration of Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service Criteria

Community Development Department

<u>Overview</u>

CDD 14-08 was the clear favorite, with CDD 14-13 also popular. On the other side, CDD 14-04 had no supporters.

	Top Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Drop					
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description			
1	51.85%	CDD 14-12	Optimizing Public and Private Motor Vehicle Parking Supply			
2	38.89%	CDD 14-06	Review Ratio of Second Story to First Story in Single Family Homes			
3 (tie)	35.19%	CDD 14-16	Reduce the Maximum Density in the Downtown Specific Plan and Prohibit Conversion of Existing Commercial Space to Non-commercial Use			
3(tie)	35.19%	CDD 14-17	Impacts of Major Apartment Renovation Projects on Tenants			
5	33.33%	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing			
6	29.63%	CDD 14-01	Explore the Use of Stacker and Tandem Parking Spaces to meet Parking Requirements			
7	25.93%	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory			
8 (tie)	24.07%	CDD 14-05	Review General Plan Policies Regarding Noise Impacts to Residential Developments Near Major Transportation Thoroughfares			
8 (tie)	24.07%	CDD 14-03	Public Hearings on Legislative Actions (RZ, GPA) Prior to Public Hearings on the Related Development Projects			
10	22.22%	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards			
11	20.37%	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking			
12		CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines			
13	14.81%	CDD 14-14	Address Non-commercial Properties in Precise Plan for El Camino Real			
14	12.96%	CDD 14-09	Comprehensive Update of the Precise Plan for El Camino Real			
15 (tie)	11.11%	CDD 14-15	Consideration of Appeal Process for Land Use Projects			
15 (tie)	11.11%	CDD 14-18	Understanding the Relevance of the Balanced Growth Profile as it Relates to the General Plan			
17	9.26%	CDD 14-02	Review City Policies Governing Housing Density and Bonus Density Calculations			
18	5.56%	CDD 14-08	Increase Noticing Distance and Related Submittal Requirements for Large Projects			
19	1.85%	CDD 14-13	Methods of Posting Public Notices on Development Projects			

		Т	op Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Defer
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	27.78%	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory
2	20.37%	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking
3	16.67%	CDD 14-05	Review General Plan Policies Regarding Noise Impacts to Residential Developments
			Near Major Transportation Thoroughfares
4 (tie)	14.81%	CDD 14-16	Reduce the Maximum Density in the Downtown Specific Plan and Prohibit Conversion
			of Existing Commercial Space to Non-commercial Use
4 (tie)	14.81%	CDD 14-06	Review Ratio of Second Story to First Story in Single Family Homes
6	12.96%	CDD 14-01	Explore the Use of Stacker and Tandem Parking Spaces to meet Parking
			Requirements
7 (tie)	11.11%	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards
7 (tie)	11.11%	CDD 14-12	Optimizing Public and Private Motor Vehicle Parking Supply
9 (tie)	9.26%	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing
9 (tie)	9.26%	CDD 14-09	Comprehensive Update of the Precise Plan for El Camino Real
9 (tie)	9.26%	CDD 14-03	Public Hearings on Legislative Actions (RZ, GPA) Prior to Public Hearings on the
			Related Development Projects
12 (tie)	7.41%	CDD 14-02	Review City Policies Governing Housing Density and Bonus Density Calculations
12 (tie)	7.41%	CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines
14 (tie)	3.70%	CDD 14-15	Consideration of Appeal Process for Land Use Projects
14 (tie)		CDD 14-17	Impacts of Major Apartment Renovation Projects on Tenants
14 (tie)		CDD 14-14	Address Non-commercial Properties in Precise Plan for El Camino Real
14 (tie)		CDD 14-13	Methods of Posting Public Notices on Development Projects
18 (tie)		CDD 14-08	Increase Noticing Distance and Related Submittal Requirements for Large Projects
18 (tie)	1.85%	CDD 14-18	Understanding the Relevance of the Balanced Growth Profile as it Relates to the
			General Plan

	Top Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers					
Rank	Score	Issue	Description			
1	2.75	CDD 14-08	Increase Noticing Distance and Related Submittal Requirements for Large Projects			
2	3.50	CDD 14-13	Methods of Posting Public Notices on Development Projects			
3	4.19	CDD 14-09	Comprehensive Update of the Precise Plan for El Camino Real			
4	4.41	CDD 14-18	Understanding the Relevance of the Balanced Growth Profile as it Relates to the General Plan			
5	4.43	CDD 14-02	Review City Policies Governing Housing Density and Bonus Density Calculations			
6		CDD 14-14	Address Non-commercial Properties in Precise Plan for El Camino Real			
7	5.76	CDD 14-15	Consideration of Appeal Process for Land Use Projects			
8	6.19	CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines			
9	6.23	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking			
10	6.27	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards			
11	6.54	CDD 14-03	Public Hearings on Legislative Actions (RZ, GPA) Prior to Public Hearings on the Related Development Projects			
12	6.55	CDD 14-05	Review General Plan Policies Regarding Noise Impacts to Residential Developments Near Major Transportation Thoroughfares			
13	6.69	CDD 14-16	Reduce the Maximum Density in the Downtown Specific Plan and Prohibit Conversion of Existing Commercial Space to Non-commercial Use			
14	6.74	CDD 14-06	Review Ratio of Second Story to First Story in Single Family Homes			
15	6.77	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory			
16	7.04	CDD 14-17	Impacts of Major Apartment Renovation Projects on Tenants			
17	7.08	CDD 14-01	Explore the Use of Stacker and Tandem Parking Spaces to meet Parking Requirements			
18	7.49	CDD 14-12	Optimizing Public and Private Motor Vehicle Parking Supply			
19	7.78	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing			

	Top Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers					
Rank	Score	Issue	Description			
2	1.92	CDD 14-08	Increase Noticing Distance and Related Submittal Requirements for Large Projects			
13 (tie)	2.33	CDD 14-01	Explore the Use of Stacker and Tandem Parking Spaces to meet Parking Requirements			
1	2.56	CDD 14-09	Comprehensive Update of the Precise Plan for El Camino Real			
6	2.73	CDD 14-13	Methods of Posting Public Notices on Development Projects			
4	2.80	CDD 14-18	Understanding the Relevance of the Balanced Growth Profile as it Relates to the General Plan			
5	2.86	CDD 14-14	Address Non-commercial Properties in Precise Plan for El Camino Real			
3	2.95	CDD 14-02	Review City Policies Governing Housing Density and Bonus Density Calculations			
7 (tie)	3.11	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking			
11	3.13	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards			
9	3.25	CDD 14-16	Reduce the Maximum Density in the Downtown Specific Plan and Prohibit Conversion of Existing Commercial Space to Non-commercial Use			
12	3.29	CDD 14-05	Review General Plan Policies Regarding Noise Impacts to Residential Developments Near Major Transportation Thoroughfares			
10	3.33	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory			
16	3.40	CDD 14-17	Impacts of Major Apartment Renovation Projects on Tenants			
7 (tie)	3.56	CDD 14-06	Review Ratio of Second Story to First Story in Single Family Homes			
17	3.67	CDD 14-12	Optimizing Public and Private Motor Vehicle Parking Supply			
13 (tie)	3.75	CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines			
13 (tie)	3.88	CDD 14-03	Public Hearings on Legislative Actions (RZ, GPA) Prior to Public Hearings on the Related Development Projects			
18	4.00	CDD 14-15	Consideration of Appeal Process for Land Use Projects			
19	N/A	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing			

Department of Public Safety

<u>Overview</u>

Residents generally supported ranking the one DPS study issue.

	Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Drop					
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description			
1	16.67%	DPS 14-01	Recreational Hunting and Safe Access to Open Space			
	Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Defer					
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description			
1	12.96%	DPS 14-01	Recreational Hunting and Safe Access to Open Space			

Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description	
1	2.05	DPS 14-01	Recreational Hunting and Safe Access to Open Space	

Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description	
1	1.00	DPS 14-01	Recreational Hunting and Safe Access to Open Space	

Overview

DPW 14-17 was clearly the most popular, with more than half of respondents recommending to rank the issue. DPW 14-13 was the least popular, with more than half of respondents recommending to drop the issue.

	Top Preferences for DPW Study Issues to Drop				
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description		
1	61.11%	DPW 14-09	Reduction of Excessive Lighting in the Downtown Area		
2	53.70%	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue		
3	50.00%	DPW 14-12	Policy on Placement of Street Amenities to Assure Comfortable Access for the		
			Disabled		
4	48.15%	DPW 14-10	Consideration of Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service Criteria		
5	44.44%	DPW 14-16	Forms of Automated Transportation		
6	38.89%	DPW 14-04	Consideration of Policies and Actions to Support Car Sharing		
7		DPW 14-14	Optimization of Wolfe Road for Neighborhood and Commuters via Reconfiguration and		
8	20.37%	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens Creek		
9	18.52%	DPW 14-05	Implementation of a Bike Share Program		
10	9.26%	DPW 14-15	Feasibility of Entering Into a Joint-Use Agreement with the Santa Clara Unified School		
			District for Open Space Areas at Peterson Middle School		
11	7.41%	DPW 14-17	Analysis of Reconfiguration or Other Capacity Improvement Alternatives for the Wolfe		
			Road/EI Camino Real/Fremont Avenue Intersection Complex		

	Top Preferences for DPW Study Issues to Defer				
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description		
1	18.52%	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens		
2 (tie)	9.26%	DPW 14-04	Consideration of Policies and Actions to Support Car Sharing		
2 (tie)	9.26%	DPW 14-10	Consideration of Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service Criteria		
4	7.41%	DPW 14-09	Reduction of Excessive Lighting in the Downtown Area		
5	5.56%	DPW 14-17	Analysis of Reconfiguration or Other Capacity Improvement Alternatives for the Wolfe Road/El Camino Real/Fremont Avenue Intersection Complex		
6 (tie)	3.70%	DPW 14-14	Optimization of Wolfe Road for Neighborhood and Commuters via Reconfiguration and Signalization		
6 (tie)	3.70%	DPW 14-05	Implementation of a Bike Share Program		
8 (tie)	1.85%	DPW 14-16	Forms of Automated Transportation		
8 (tie)	1.85%	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue		
10 (tie)	0.00%	DPW 14-12	Policy on Placement of Street Amenities to Assure Comfortable Access for the Disabled		
10 (tie)	0.00%	DPW 14-15	Feasibility of Entering Into a Joint-Use Agreement with the Santa Clara Unified School District for Open Space Areas at Peterson Middle School		

	То	p Preference	s for DPW Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	3.00	DPW 14-17	Analysis of Reconfiguration or Other Capacity Improvement Alternatives for the Wolfe Road/El Camino Real/Fremont Avenue Intersection Complex
2	3.42	DPW 14-15	Feasibility of Entering Into a Joint-Use Agreement with the Santa Clara Unified School District for Open Space Areas at Peterson Middle School
3	4.42	DPW 14-05	Implementation of a Bike Share Program
4	53	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens Creek
5	5.43	DPW 14-14	Optimization of Wolfe Road for Neighborhood and Commuters via Reconfiguration and Signalization
6	6.29	DPW 14-04	Consideration of Policies and Actions to Support Car Sharing
7	6.50	DPW 14-16	Forms of Automated Transportation
8	6.64	DPW 14-10	Consideration of Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service Criteria
9	6.97	DPW 14-12	Policy on Placement of Street Amenities to Assure Comfortable Access for the Disabled

10	7.00 DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue
11	7.16 DPW 14-09	Reduction of Excessive Lighting in the Downtown Area

	Top Preferences for DPW Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description		
1	2.00	DPW 14-10	Consideration of Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service Criteria		
2	2.03	DPW 14-17	Analysis of Reconfiguration or Other Capacity Improvement Alternatives for the Wolfe Road/El Camino Real/Fremont Avenue Intersection Complex		
3	2.11	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens Creek		
4	2.54	DPW 14-15	Feasibility of Entering Into a Joint-Use Agreement with the Santa Clara Unified School District for Open Space Areas at Peterson Middle School		
5	2.67	DPW 14-09	Reduction of Excessive Lighting in the Downtown Area		
6	2.68	DPW 14-14	Optimization of Wolfe Road for Neighborhood and Commuters via Reconfiguration and Signalization		
7	2.71	DPW 14-05	Implementation of a Bike Share Program		
8 (tie)	3.00	DPW 14-04	Consideration of Policies and Actions to Support Car Sharing		
8 (tie)	3.00	DPW 14-12	Policy on Placement of Street Amenities to Assure Comfortable Access for the Disabled		
10	3.38	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue		
11	4.07	DPW 14-16	Forms of Automated Transportation		

Environmental Services Department

Overview

Respondents generally supported ranking ESD 14-01 and ESD 14-02, and they were mixed on the other two.

	Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description	
1	48.15%	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations	
2	37.04%	ESD 12-03	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use	
3			Ban on the Use of Gas-powered Leaf Blowers	
4	16.67%	ESD 14-02	Community Choice Aggregation	

	Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Defer				
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description		
1	18.52%	ESD 12-03	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use		
2	1.85%	ESD 14-01	Ban on the Use of Gas-powered Leaf Blowers		
3	0.00%	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations		
4	0.00%	ESD 14-02	Community Choice Aggregation		

	Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description		
1	2.76	ESD 14-02	Community Choice Aggregation		
2	3.64	ESD 14-01	Ban on the Use of Gas-powered Leaf Blowers		
3	5.11	ESD 14-04	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use		
4	5.15	ESD 12-03	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations		

	Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description		
1	1.69	ESD 14-02	Community Choice Aggregation		
2	1.77	ESD 14-01	Ban on the Use of Gas-powered Leaf Blowers		
3	2.47	ESD 12-03	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use		
4	2.76	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations		

Finance Department

Overview

The sole study issue, FIN13-01, had mixed feedback.

	Top Preferences for FINS Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description	
1	37.04%	FIN 14-01	Financing for Energy-Efficiency, Renewable-Energy and Water-Efficiency Improvements on Commercial Properties	

	Top Preferences for FIN Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description	
1	3.70%	FIN 14-01	Financing for Energy-Efficiency, Renewable-Energy and Water-Efficiency	
			Improvements on Commercial Properties	

	Top Preferences for FIN Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description	
1	2.64	FIN 14-01	Financing for Energy-Efficiency, Renewable-Energy and Water-Efficiency	
			Improvements on Commercial Properties	

Top Preferences for FIN Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.00	FIN 14-01	Financing for Energy-Efficiency, Renewable-Energy and Water-Efficiency Improvements on Commercial Properties

Information Technology Department

Overview

Residents strongly supported dropping the one ITD study issue.

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	25.93%	ITD 14-01	Bicycle and Pedestrian Troublespot Smart Phone App

	Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Defer				
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description		
1	3.70%	ITD 14-01	Bicycle and Pedestrian Troublespot Smart Phone App		

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers					
Rank	Score	Issue	Description		
1	3.30	ITD 14-01	Bicycle and Pedestrian Troublespot Smart Phone App		

	Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers					
Rank	Score	Issue	Description			
1	1.00	ITD 14-01	Bicycle and Pedestrian Troublespot Smart Phone App			

Library and Community Services Department

Overview

Residents generally supported ranking both LCS study issues.

Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Drop				
Rank	Rank Pct Issue Description			
1	25.93%	LCS 14-02	Review of Park Use Policies and Related User Fees	
2	0.00%	LCS 14-04	Consider Creation of a Youth Commission	

	Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Defer					
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description			
1	1.85%	LCS 14-02	Review of Park Use Policies and Related User Fees			
2	0.00%	LCS 14-04	Consider Creation of a Youth Commission			

	Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description		
1	1.62	LCS 14-02	Review of Park Use Policies and Related User Fees		
2	1.75	LCS 14-04	Consider Creation of a Youth Commission		

Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description	
1	1.10	LCS 14-02	Review of Park Use Policies and Related User Fees	
2	1.75	LCS 14-04	Consider Creation of a Youth Commission	

NOVA Department

Overview

The sole study issue, NOV 14-01, was generally supported for ranking.

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Drop				
Rank	Rank Pct Issue Description			
1	7.41%	NOV 14-01	Examine Ways to Increase Local Hiring in Major Developments	

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Defer				
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description	
1	0.93%	NOV 14-01	Examine Ways to Increase Local Hiring in Major Developments	

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description	
1	1.68	NOV 14-01	Examine Ways to Increase Local Hiring in Major Developments	

	Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers				
Rank	Score	Issue	Description		
1	1.00	NOV 14-01	Examine Ways to Increase Local Hiring in Major Developments		

Office of the City Attorney

<u>Overview</u>

Residents generally supported ranking all three issues.

	Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Drop				
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description		
1	25.93%	OCA 14-02	Charter Amendment to End Numbered Council Seats		
2	14.81%	OCA 14-01	Consider Adding Campaign Contribution Information to RTCs; Consider Barring Councilmembers from Voting on Items Involving Parties that Contributed to a Councilmember Campaign		
3	12.96%	OCA 14-03	Clarify Inclusion of Electronic Cigarettes in Smoking Regulations; Expand Smoking Regulations to Prohibit Smoking near Doorways and Outdoor Areas of Retail and		

	Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Defer				
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description		
1 (tie)	5.56%	OCA 14-02	Charter Amendment to End Numbered Council Seats		
1 (tie)	5.56%	OCA 14-03	Clarify Inclusion of Electronic Cigarettes in Smoking Regulations; Expand Smoking Regulations to Prohibit Smoking near Doorways and Outdoor Areas of Retail and		
3	3.70%	OCA 14-01	Consider Adding Campaign Contribution Information to RTCs; Consider Barring Councilmembers from Voting on Items Involving Parties that Contributed to a Councilmember Campaign		

Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers									
Rank Score Issue Description									
1	2.50	OCA 14-01	Consider Adding Campaign Contribution Information to RTCs; Consider Barring Councilmembers from Voting on Items Involving Parties that Contributed to a Councilmember Campaign						
2	2.74	OCA 14-03	Clarify Inclusion of Electronic Cigarettes in Smoking Regulations; Expand Smoking Regulations to Prohibit Smoking near Doorways and Outdoor Areas of Retail and						
3	3.74	OCA 14-02	Charter Amendment to End Numbered Council Seats						

Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers									
Rank	Score	Description							
1	1.32	OCA 14-01	Consider Adding Campaign Contribution Information to RTCs; Consider Barring Councilmembers from Voting on Items Involving Parties that Contributed to a Councilmember Campaign						
2	1.72	OCA 14-03	Clarify Inclusion of Electronic Cigarettes in Smoking Regulations; Expand Smoking Regulations to Prohibit Smoking near Doorways and Outdoor Areas of Retail and						
3	2.05	OCA 14-02	Charter Amendment to End Numbered Council Seats						

Office of the City Manager

<u>Overview</u>

The sole study issue, OCM 14-01 was generally supported for dropping or deferring.

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Drop								
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description					
1	48.15%	OCM 14-01	Consider Adopting a Local Minimum Wage Ordinance Modeled on the City of San Jose Initiative					

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Defer									
Rank	Rank Pct Issue Description								
1	7.41%	OCM 14-01	Consider Adopting a Local Minimum Wage Ordinance Modeled on the City of San Jose Initiative						

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers									
Rank	Score	Issue	Description						
1	2.87		Consider Adopting a Local Minimum Wage Ordinance Modeled on the City of San Jose Initiative						

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers										
Rank	Rank Score Issue Description									
1	1.00	OCM 14-01	Consider Adopting a Local Minimum Wage Ordinance Modeled on the City of San Jose Initiative							

Raw Results															
Issue	1	2	3	4	5	Unranked	Defer	Drop	Drop-weighted Score	Ranked-only Score	Rank %	Don't Rank %	Defer %	Drop %	Consensus
CDD 11-02	3	1	0	2	3	23	11	11	6.23	3.11	16.67%	70.97%	20.37%	20.37%	Defer or drop
CDD 12-02	1	1	1		2	19	15	14	6.77	3.33	11.11%	82.86%	27.78%		Defer or drop
CDD 13-02	1	1	3	2	1	28	6	12	6.27	3.13	14.81%	69.23%	11.11%	22.22%	Defer or drop
CDD 14-01	1	0	2	0	0	28	7	16	7.08	2.33	5.56%	88.46%	12.96%		Strong drop
CDD 14-02	1	6	7	3	2	26	4	5	4.43	2.95	35.19%	32.14%	7.41%	9.26%	Rank
CDD 14-03	0	1	0	6	1	28	5	13	6.54	3.88	14.81%	69.23%	9.26%	24.07%	
CDD 14-04	0	0	0	0	0	31	5	18	7.78	N/A	0.00%	100.00%	9.26%	33.33%	Strong drop
CDD 14-05	2	0	1	2	2	25	9	13	6.55	3.29	12.96%	75.86%	16.67%		Defer or drop
CDD 14-06	0	2	3	1	3	16	8	21	6.74	3.56	16.67%	76.32%	14.81%	38.89%	
CDD 14-08	11	6	5	2	0	26	1	3	2.75	1.92	44.44%	14.29%	1.85%		Rank highest
CDD 14-09	8	5	6	2		17	5	7	4.19	2.56	46.30%	32.43%	9.26%	12.96%	Rank
CDD 14-10	0	2	0	4	2	33	4	9	6.19	3.75	14.81%	61.90%	7.41%		
CDD 14-12	1	0	0	-		17	6	28	7.49	3.67	5.56%	91.89%	11.11%	51.85%	Strong drop
CDD 14-13	3	3	4	-		36	2	1	3.50	2.73	27.78%	16.67%	3.70%		Rank high
CDD 14-14	2	6	1	2		30	2	8	4.92	2.86	25.93%	41.67%	3.70%	14.81%	
CDD 14-15	1	0	1	-	4	37	2	6	5.76	4.00	16.67%	47.06%	3.70%	11.11%	
CDD 14-16	1	1	3		2	19	8	19	6.69	3.25	14.81%	77.14%	14.81%		
CDD 14-17	1	0	1	_	1	28	2	19	7.04	3.40	9.26%	80.77%	3.70%		Strong drop
CDD 14-18	4	4	2	1	4	32	1	6	4.41	2.80	27.78%	31.82%	1.85%	11.11%	Rank
DPS 14-01	23					15	7	9	2.05	1.00	42.59%	41.03%	12.96%	16.67%	Rank
DPW 13-12	11	1	2	1	3	15	10	11	5.03	2.11	33.33%	53.85%	18.52%	20.37%	Mixed
DPW 14-04	1	4	3			15	5	21	6.29	3.00	22.22%	68.42%	9.26%		
DPW 14-04	4	8	6			18	2	10	4.42	2.71	44.44%	33.33%	3.70%	18.52%	
DPW 14-09	2	1	0		-	11	4	33	7.16	2.67	11.11%	86.05%	7.41%		Strong drop
DPW 14-10	3	3	1		0	15	5	26	6.64	2.00	14.81%	79.49%	9.26%		Strong drop
DPW 14-12	2	0	2			20	0	27	6.97	3.00	12.96%	79.41%	0.00%		Strong drop
DPW 14-13	0	1	4			16	1	29	7.00	3.38	14.81%	78.95%	1.85%		Strong drop
DPW 14-14	4	5	4			14	2	19	5.43	2.68	35.19%	52.50%	3.70%		Mixed
DPW 14-15	4	10	7		1	23	0	5	3.42	2.54	48.15%	16.13%	0.00%		Rank highest
DPW 14-16	0	1	5		8	14	1	24	6.50	4.07	27.78%	62.50%	1.85%	44.44%	
DPW 14-17	14	10	5			14	3	4	3.00	2.03	61.11%	17.50%	5.56%		Rank highest
									0.000		0		0.0070		
ESD 12-03	2	6	8	1		7	10	20	5.15	2.47	31.48%	63.83%	18.52%	37.04%	Mixed
ESD 14-01	18	5	3	4		7	1	16	3.64	1.77	55.56%	36.17%	1.85%	29.63%	Rank
ESD 14-02	17	15	2	2		9	0	9	2.76	1.69	66.67%	20.00%	0.00%	16.67%	Rank highest
ESD 14-04	3	6	5	7		7	0	26	5.11	2.76	38.89%	55.32%	0.00%	48.15%	Mixed
FIN 14-01	17					15	2	20	2.64	1.00	31.48%	56.41%	3.70%	37.04%	Mixed
ITD 14-01	8					14	4	28	3.30	1.00	14.81%	80.00%	7.41%	51.85%	Strong drop
1 00 11 00	200					20			4.00	1 10	F0 700/	44 740/	0.700/	E E00/	Deals
LCS 14-02	26					20		3	1.62	1.10	53.70%			5.56%	
LCS 14-04	3	9				2	0	0	1.75	1.75	85.71%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	rtalik
						40			4.00	4.00	E0 700/	22.000/	1 0 5 0/	14 040/	Denk
NOV 14-01	29	\vdash				16	1	8	1.68	1.00	53.70%	23.68%	1.85%	14.81%	Ralik
000 14 04	00	┝_┥				40			0.50	4 00	E4 050/	26.200/	2 700/	14 0404	Denk
OCA 14-01	20		1			16	2	8	2.50		51.85%	26.32%		14.81%	
OCA 14-02	5		6			16	3	14	3.74		38.89%	44.74%		25.93%	
OCA 14-03	13	11	5			15	3	7	2.74	1.72	53.70%	25.64%	5.56%	12.96%	Kank
OCM 14-01	16					8	4	26	2.87	1.00	29.63%	65.22%	7 41%	48.15%	Dron
	10					σ	7	20	2.07	1.00	20.0070	00.22 /0	7.7170	-10.1370	Diop