

Results of Mayor Griffith's 2015 Study Issue Survey

Introduction

The survey was conducted between January 9th and 25th, 2015. 86 responses were received.

The survey attempted to follow the voting mechanism that Council uses in ranking study issues. Participants were provided with the study issue overviews as described in the council overview sheets, with links to the full study issue write-ups. Participants were first asked to indicate which study issues should be dropped. Of what remained, participants were asked to indicate which study issues should be deferred until next year. Of what remained, participants were asked to rank their top five choices (or fewer, if a department had less than five study issues). The raw votes cast are on the final page of the summary. The survey may be viewed at <http://www.dweb.org/cgi-bin/survey.pl>.

Each department is broken down into four results. The first set of results orders study issues by residents' preference for dropping issues, with items most favored to be dropped listed first. The second set shows the preference for deferring issues, with items most favored to be deferred listed first. Note that since respondents first voted for dropping issues and then deferring, items favored to be dropped tend to score low on the deferral list. As such, the best measure of a study issue's unpopularity is its drop percentage plus its deferral percentage, resulting in a "rank/do not rank" percentage.

The third and fourth sets indicate the preferred ranking order of the study issues, in two ways.

In the "drop-weighted ranking", study issues are ranked, but "drop" and "defer" votes are applied as a negative value. As such, the "drop-weighted ranking" attempts to represent the collective opinion of all survey participants. This can be interpreted as "deciding to vote to represent the wishes of the resident".

In the "rank only" ranking, study issues are ranked ignoring all "drop" and "defer" votes. As such, the "ignore drop/defer votes" ranking is best described as "when participants value an issue, how strongly they value it". This can be interpreted as "having decided to rank items, residents recommend voting according to this order".

Overall trends

Overall most popular issues

- | | | |
|----|-----------|--|
| #1 | DPW 15-04 | Convert Part-Time Bicycle Lanes on Homestead Road to Full-Time Bicycle Lanes |
| #2 | DPW 15-03 | Determine Steps to Move Forward to Becoming a Silver Level in the League of American Bicyclists - Bicycle Friendly Communities |
| #3 | CDD 15-06 | Design Guidelines for Parking Structures |
| #4 | DPW 15-05 | Safe Routes to Walk and Bike to Nearby Schools Map |
| #5 | CDD 15-02 | Consider Multi-family Residential Transportation Demand Management Programs |

Overall least popular issues

- | | | |
|----------|-----------|---|
| #1 | CDD 15-05 | Review Below Market Rate (BMR) Unit and/or In-lieu Fee Requirements |
| #2 (tie) | CDD 15-01 | Consider Imposing a Tax or Fee on Rental Property Owners to Provide a Revenue Source to Pay for Tenant-Landlord Dispute Resolution Services |
| #2 (tie) | CDD 15-07 | Evaluation of the Existing Items on the Heritage Resources Inventory |
| #4 | CDD 15-09 | Exploring Opportunities to Improve the Appearance of Public and Private Property along the 100 Block of Murphy Avenue |
| #5 | CDD 12-02 | Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory |
-

Community Development Department

Overview

CDD 15-02 was the clear favorite, with CDD 15-06 also scoring very well. CDD 15-01, 15-05, 15-07, and 15-09 were the least favorite, with over half of respondents recommending dropping or deferring them.

Top Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1 (tie)	48.84%	CDD 15-01	Consider Imposing a Tax or Fee on Rental Property Owners to Provide a Revenue Source to Pay for Tenant-Landlord Dispute Resolution Services
1 (tie)	48.84%	CDD 15-09	Exploring Opportunities to Improve the Appearance of Public and Private Property along the 100 Block of Murphy Avenue
3	45.35%	CDD 15-07	Evaluation of the Existing Items on the Heritage Resources Inventory
4 (tie)	43.02%	CDD 15-05	Review Below Market Rate (BMR) Unit and/or In-lieu Fee Requirements
4 (tie)	43.02%	CDD 15-10	Explore Introduction of a Rent Stabilization Ordinance
6	39.53%	CDD 15-15	Review City Tree Preservation Policies and Regulations for Removal of Mature Trees on Development Sites
7	38.37%	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory
8	34.88%	CDD 15-08	Policies Regarding Private Security Cameras
9	32.56%	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking
10	30.23%	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing
11	27.91%	CDD 15-14	Evaluate Timing of Park Dedication In-lieu Fee Calculation and Payment
12	26.74%	CDD 15-13	Early Adoption of State Zero-Net-Energy Model Building Policies
13	25.58%	CDD 15-12	Regulating Short-term Residential Rental Units (i.e., AirBnB)
14	23.26%	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards
15	22.09%	CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines
16 (tie)	16.28%	CDD 15-11	Appropriate Locations for Child Care Facilities
16 (tie)	16.28%	CDD 15-02	Consider Multi-family Residential Transportation Demand Management Programs
18	15.12%	CDD 15-04	Height Regulations to Accommodate Architectural Style
19	12.79%	CDD 15-06	Design Guidelines for Parking Structures

Top Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	26.74%	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking
2	22.09%	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory
3	17.44%	CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines
4	16.28%	CDD 15-05	Review Below Market Rate (BMR) Unit and/or In-lieu Fee Requirements
5	15.12%	CDD 15-13	Early Adoption of State Zero-Net-Energy Model Building Policies
6	13.95%	CDD 15-12	Regulating Short-term Residential Rental Units (i.e., AirBnB)
7 (tie)	12.79%	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards
7 (tie)	12.79%	CDD 15-10	Explore Introduction of a Rent Stabilization Ordinance
9 (tie)	11.63%	CDD 15-14	Evaluate Timing of Park Dedication In-lieu Fee Calculation and Payment
9 (tie)	11.63%	CDD 15-07	Evaluation of the Existing Items on the Heritage Resources Inventory
11 (tie)	10.47%	CDD 15-11	Appropriate Locations for Child Care Facilities
11 (tie)	10.47%	CDD 15-04	Height Regulations to Accommodate Architectural Style
13 (tie)	8.14%	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing
13 (tie)	8.14%	CDD 15-01	Consider Imposing a Tax or Fee on Rental Property Owners to Provide a Revenue Source to Pay for Tenant-Landlord Dispute Resolution Services
15	8.14%	CDD 15-02	Consider Multi-family Residential Transportation Demand Management Programs
16 (tie)	6.98%	CDD 15-08	Policies Regarding Private Security Cameras
16 (tie)	6.98%	CDD 15-06	Design Guidelines for Parking Structures
18	5.81%	CDD 15-09	Exploring Opportunities to Improve the Appearance of Public and Private Property along the 100 Block of Murphy Avenue
19	3.49%	CDD 15-15	Review City Tree Preservation Policies and Regulations for Removal of Mature Trees on Development Sites

Top Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	4.27	CDD 15-02	Consider Multi-family Residential Transportation Demand Management Programs
2	4.64	CDD 15-06	Design Guidelines for Parking Structures
3 (tie)	4.98	CDD 15-04	Height Regulations to Accommodate Architectural Style
3 (tie)	5.28	CDD 15-11	Appropriate Locations for Child Care Facilities
5	5.64	CDD 15-13	Early Adoption of State Zero-Net-Energy Model Building Policies
6	6.14	CDD 15-15	Review City Tree Preservation Policies and Regulations for Removal of Mature Trees on Development Sites
7	6.22	CDD 15-10	Explore Introduction of a Rent Stabilization Ordinance
8	6.23	CDD 15-08	Policies Regarding Private Security Cameras
9	6.24	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards
10	6.35	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking
11	6.47	CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines
12	6.59	CDD 15-12	Regulating Short-term Residential Rental Units (i.e., AirBnB)
13	6.93	CDD 15-14	Evaluate Timing of Park Dedication In-lieu Fee Calculation and Payment
14	7.00	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing
15	7.08	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory
16	7.14	CDD 15-01	Consider Imposing a Tax or Fee on Rental Property Owners to Provide a Revenue Source to Pay for Tenant-Landlord Dispute Resolution Services
17	7.18	CDD 15-05	Review Below Market Rate (BMR) Unit and/or In-lieu Fee Requirements
18	7.24	CDD 15-09	Exploring Opportunities to Improve the Appearance of Public and Private Property along the 100 Block of Murphy Avenue
19	7.27	CDD 15-07	Evaluation of the Existing Items on the Heritage Resources Inventory

Top Preferences for CDD Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	2.18	CDD 15-02	Consider Multi-family Residential Transportation Demand Management Programs
2	2.37	CDD 15-10	Explore Introduction of a Rent Stabilization Ordinance
3	2.43	CDD 15-01	Consider Imposing a Tax or Fee on Rental Property Owners to Provide a Revenue Source to Pay for Tenant-Landlord Dispute Resolution Services
4	2.50	CDD 11-02	Downtown Development Policies for Parking
5	2.52	CDD 15-13	Early Adoption of State Zero-Net-Energy Model Building Policies
6	2.58	CDD 15-04	Height Regulations to Accommodate Architectural Style
7	2.63	CDD 15-08	Policies Regarding Private Security Cameras
8	2.68	CDD 15-15	Review City Tree Preservation Policies and Regulations for Removal of Mature Trees on Development Sites
9 (tie)	2.86	CDD 15-05	Review Below Market Rate (BMR) Unit and/or In-lieu Fee Requirements
9 (tie)	2.86	CDD 15-09	Exploring Opportunities to Improve the Appearance of Public and Private Property along the 100 Block of Murphy Avenue
11	2.88	CDD 12-02	Possible Nomination of Non-Residential Properties to the Heritage Resource Inventory
12	2.93	CDD 15-06	Design Guidelines for Parking Structures
13	3.00	CDD 13-02	Consideration of Useable Open Space in Required Front Yards
14	3.04	CDD 15-11	Appropriate Locations for Child Care Facilities
15	3.14	CDD 15-14	Evaluate Timing of Park Dedication In-lieu Fee Calculation and Payment
16	3.57	CDD 15-07	Evaluation of the Existing Items on the Heritage Resources Inventory
17	3.62	CDD 14-10	Update to the Murphy Avenue Design Guidelines
18	3.71	CDD 14-04	Study Individual Lockable Storage Requirements for Multi-Family Housing
19	3.90	CDD 15-12	Regulating Short-term Residential Rental Units (i.e., AirBnB)

Department of Public Safety

Overview

Respondents favored ranking both issues, with DPS 15-01 being more popular. There was a clear love/hate response to DPS 15-02.

Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Drop

Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	34.88%	DPS 15-02	Car/Ride Share Impacts on Taxicab Franchises and Review of Taxicab
2	33.72%	DPS 15-01	Prohibit Smoking Inside All Units and in Common Areas of Multi-Family Residences

Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Defer

Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	12.79%	DPS 15-02	Car/Ride Share Impacts on Taxicab Franchises and Review of Taxicab
2	3.49%	DPS 15-01	Prohibit Smoking Inside All Units and in Common Areas of Multi-Family Residences

Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers

Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	4.14	DPS 15-01	Prohibit Smoking Inside All Units and in Common Areas of Multi-Family Residences
2	5.41	DPS 15-02	Car/Ride Share Impacts on Taxicab Franchises and Review of Taxicab

Top Preferences for DPS Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers

Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.13	DPS 15-02	Car/Ride Share Impacts on Taxicab Franchises and Review of Taxicab
2	1.60	DPS 15-01	Prohibit Smoking Inside All Units and in Common Areas of Multi-Family Residences

Department of Public Works

Overview

The top four ranking of DPW 15-04, 15-05, 13-12, and 15-03, in that order, was quite distinctive and clearly stated. At the bottom, DPW 14-15 was the least popular, by far.

Top Preferences for DPW Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	47.67%	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue
2 (tie)	37.21%	DPW 15-01	Review of Transportation Demand Management Program Standards, Measurements and Effectiveness
2 (tie)	37.21%	DPW 15-07	Development of a Wayfinding Signs Plan to Direct Cyclists to Bike Facilities and Important Destinations
4	36.05%	DPW 15-11	Consider Development of Weekday School Facilities on Park Properties
5	26.74%	DPW 15-10	Sunnyvale Heritage Park Museum Proposal to Relocate the Butcher Family House at the Park
6	22.09%	DPW 15-05	Safe Routes to Walk and Bike to Nearby Schools Map
7	20.93%	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens Creek
8 (tie)	19.77%	DPW 15-09	Feasibility of Establishing a Park Mitigation Fee for Non-residential Development
8 (tie)	19.77%	DPW 15-03	Determine Steps to Move Forward to Becoming a Silver Level in the League of American Bicyclists - Bicycle Friendly Communities
10	16.28%	DPW 15-04	Convert Part-Time Bicycle Lanes on Homestead Road to Full-Time Bicycle Lanes

Top Preferences for DPW Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	16.28%	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens Creek
2	13.95%	DPW 15-10	Sunnyvale Heritage Park Museum Proposal to Relocate the Butcher Family House at the Park
3	12.79%	DPW 15-07	Development of a Wayfinding Signs Plan to Direct Cyclists to Bike Facilities and Important Destinations
4 (tie)	9.30%	DPW 15-09	Feasibility of Establishing a Park Mitigation Fee for Non-residential Development
4 (tie)	9.30%	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue
6 (tie)	6.98%	DPW 15-05	Safe Routes to Walk and Bike to Nearby Schools Map
6 (tie)	6.98%	DPW 15-03	Determine Steps to Move Forward to Becoming a Silver Level in the League of American Bicyclists - Bicycle Friendly Communities
8	5.81%	DPW 15-11	Consider Development of Weekday School Facilities on Park Properties
9 (tie)	3.49%	DPW 15-04	Convert Part-Time Bicycle Lanes on Homestead Road to Full-Time Bicycle Lanes
9 (tie)	3.49%	DPW 15-01	Review of Transportation Demand Management Program Standards, Measurements and Effectiveness

Top Preferences for DPW Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	3.49	DPW 15-04	Convert Part-Time Bicycle Lanes on Homestead Road to Full-Time Bicycle Lanes
2	4.28	DPW 15-05	Safe Routes to Walk and Bike to Nearby Schools Map
3	4.50	DPW 15-03	Determine Steps to Move Forward to Becoming a Silver Level in the League of American Bicyclists - Bicycle Friendly Communities
4	4.90	DPW 15-09	Feasibility of Establishing a Park Mitigation Fee for Non-residential Development
5	4.98	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens Creek
6	5.72	DPW 15-01	Review of Transportation Demand Management Program Standards, Measurements and Effectiveness
7	6.11	DPW 15-10	Sunnyvale Heritage Park Museum Proposal to Relocate the Butcher Family House at the Park
8	6.13	DPW 15-07	Development of a Wayfinding Signs Plan to Direct Cyclists to Bike Facilities and Important Destinations
9	6.30	DPW 15-11	Consider Development of Weekday School Facilities on Park Properties
10	6.76	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue

Top Preferences for DPW Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	2.08	DPW 15-04	Convert Part-Time Bicycle Lanes on Homestead Road to Full-Time Bicycle Lanes
2	2.21	DPW 15-05	Safe Routes to Walk and Bike to Nearby Schools Map
3	2.23	DPW 15-01	Review of Transportation Demand Management Program Standards, Measurements and Effectiveness
4	2.48	DPW 13-12	Acquisition of Approximately 18 Acres of Land Bounded by Highway 85 and Stevens Creek
5	2.68	DPW 15-03	Determine Steps to Move Forward to Becoming a Silver Level in the League of American Bicyclists - Bicycle Friendly Communities
6	2.69	DPW 14-13	Scoping of Grade Separations at Mary Avenue and Sunnyvale Avenue
7	3.00	DPW 15-11	Consider Development of Weekday School Facilities on Park Properties
8	3.03	DPW 15-07	Development of a Wayfinding Signs Plan to Direct Cyclists to Bike Facilities and Important Destinations
9	3.25	DPW 15-09	Feasibility of Establishing a Park Mitigation Fee for Non-residential Development
10	3.52	DPW 15-10	Sunnyvale Heritage Park Museum Proposal to Relocate the Butcher Family House at the Park

Environmental Services Department

Overview

Respondents were generally mixed on both, somewhat preferring ESD 14-04.

Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	32.56%	ESD 12-03	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use
2	30.23%	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations

Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	12.79%	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations
2	10.47%	ESD 12-03	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use

Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	4.67	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations
2	4.93	ESD 12-03	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use

Top Preferences for ESD Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.27	ESD 14-04	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations
2	1.43	ESD 12-03	Impact of Sea Level Rise on Land Use

Finance Department

Overview

Respondents somewhat preferred ranking FIN 15-01 and were mixed on FIN 15-02.

Top Preferences for FIN Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	33.72%	FIN 15-02	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations
2	24.42%	FIN 15-01	Review Potential for a Utility Users Tax Ballot Measure and Discount Program for Low Income Customers

Top Preferences for FIN Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	8.14%	FIN 15-02	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations
2	3.49%	FIN 15-01	Review Potential for a Utility Users Tax Ballot Measure and Discount Program for Low Income Customers

Top Preferences for FIN Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	4.00	FIN 15-01	Review Potential for a Utility Users Tax Ballot Measure and Discount Program for Low Income Customers
2	5.10	FIN 15-02	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations

Top Preferences for FIN Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.18	FIN 15-01	Review Potential for a Utility Users Tax Ballot Measure and Discount Program for Low Income Customers
2	1.35	FIN 15-02	Full Cost-Analysis and Carbon Pricing in City Operations

Human Resources Department

Overview

Residents were mixed on the sole issue, with interesting results. While only 34% chose to explicitly defer or drop it, a significant number chose not to rank it at all, even though it was the sole issue. In sum, some 5 of 8 residents chose not to rank it.

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	30.23%	HRD 15-01	Make Public Proposals and Counter Proposals by the City in all Labor Negotiations Bargaining

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	3.49%	HRD 15-01	Make Public Proposals and Counter Proposals by the City in all Labor Negotiations Bargaining

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	4.39	HRD 15-01	Make Public Proposals and Counter Proposals by the City in all Labor Negotiations Bargaining

Top Preferences for ITD Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.00	HRD 15-01	Make Public Proposals and Counter Proposals by the City in all Labor Negotiations Bargaining

Library and Community Services Department

Overview

Respondents generally supported ranking LCS 15-01 and 15-03.

Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	41.86%	LCS 15-04	Consider Development of Indoor Aquatic Center
2	22.09%	LCS 15-01	Establishing a Library Impact Fee
3	16.28%	LCS 15-03	Consider Development of Teen Center

Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1 (tie)	12.79%	LCS 15-03	Consider Development of Teen Center
1 (tie)	12.79%	LCS 15-04	Consider Development of Indoor Aquatic Center
3	4.65%	LCS 15-01	Establishing a Library Impact Fee

Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	3.83	LCS 15-03	Consider Development of Teen Center
2	3.97	LCS 15-01	Establishing a Library Impact Fee
3	5.90	LCS 15-04	Consider Development of Indoor Aquatic Center

Top Preferences for LCS Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.50	LCS 15-03	Consider Development of Teen Center
2	1.57	LCS 15-01	Establishing a Library Impact Fee
3	1.71	LCS 15-04	Consider Development of Indoor Aquatic Center

Office of the City Attorney

Overview

This issue had similar results to the lone HRD issue. 2/3 choose not to rank the sole OCA study issue.

Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	39.53%	OCA 15-01	Consider Applicability of Condemnation or Nuisance Abatement for Town Center

Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	5.81%	OCA 15-01	Consider Applicability of Condemnation or Nuisance Abatement for Town Center

Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	5.62	OCA 15-01	Consider Applicability of Condemnation or Nuisance Abatement for Town Center

Top Preferences for OCA Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.00	OCA 15-01	Consider Applicability of Condemnation or Nuisance Abatement for Town Center

Office of the City Manager

Overview

Both OCM issues showed mixed reactions, leaning towards dropping.

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Drop			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	41.86%	OCM 15-01	Explore Actions to Improve Affordability of Living in Sunnyvale and Surrounding Cities
2	38.37%	OCM 15-02	Explore Bringing Urgent Care Services to Sunnyvale

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Defer			
Rank	Pct	Issue	Description
1	5.81%	OCM 15-01	Explore Actions to Improve Affordability of Living in Sunnyvale and Surrounding Cities
2	3.49%	OCM 15-02	Explore Bringing Urgent Care Services to Sunnyvale

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Rank, Weighted by Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	5.08	OCM 15-02	Explore Bringing Urgent Care Services to Sunnyvale
2	5.42	OCM 15-01	Explore Actions to Improve Affordability of Living in Sunnyvale and Surrounding Cities

Top Preferences for OCM Study Issues to Rank, Ignoring Drops/Defers			
Rank	Score	Issue	Description
1	1.21	OCM 15-01	Explore Actions to Improve Affordability of Living in Sunnyvale and Surrounding Cities
2	1.30	OCM 15-02	Explore Bringing Urgent Care Services to Sunnyvale